



भारतीय लघु उद्योग विकास बैंक
SMALL INDUSTRIES DEVELOPMENT BANK OF INDIA

010

Hand Delivery
By Regd. Post with AD

Ref. No.3126/RTI/A-04

Date : June 14, 2016

**FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY (SIDBI)
UNDER THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005**

Appeal No. 04/2016-17

**APPEAL UNDER SECTION 19(1) OF THE RTI ACT BY SHRI RAGHAVA RACHURI
AGAINST ORDER NO.452/RTI-5/1828 DATED MAY 17, 2016 OF CENTRAL PUBLIC
INFORMATION OFFICER (CPIO) OF SIDBI REGARDING HIS RTI APPLICATION DATED
20/04/2015.**

Background:


The Appellant, Shri Raghava Rachuri, Assistant General Manager (Systems), Small Industries Development Bank of India, MSME Development Centre, C-II, G Block, Bandra Kurla Complex, Bandra (East), Mumbai 400 051 has preferred the present appeal dated June 07, 2016, received on June 08, 2016, against the Order No. 452/RTI-5/1828 dated May 17, 2016 by CPIO of Small Industries Development Bank of India (SIDBI) in response to his application dated April 20, 2016.

Not satisfied with implementation of the said order, the appellant has appealed, requesting intervention of FAA in providing him Seniority List of General Stream and IT Stream for AGM and DGM from the year 2001 to 2016 in soft format CD only.

I have perused the application dated April 20, 2016, order of CPIO dated May 17, 2016 and grounds of appeal dated June 07, 2016 and observe that most of the information sought by appellant has been provided by CPIO/HRV except Minutes of Selection Committee meeting for DGM promotion held on April 08, 2016 as it was not available with CPIO.

I find that Seniority List of General Stream and IT Stream for AGM & DGM from year 2001 to 2016 asked by the appellant has not been provided by CPIO nor any exemption has been claimed there against.

बैंक हिन्दी में पत्राचार का स्वागत करता है।


14/06/16

I observe that the CPIO has very aptly clarified about the non-availability of desired information with SIDBI and forwarded RTI Application of appellant to HRV with a request to provide the available information to the appellant.

Appellant has claimed that information provided by HRV is not as per order of CPIO. It is alleged that information sought was not provided, some pages were missing and information pertaining to ITV Officers was missing.

Order :

I find that though there is no illegality in the order of CPIO which stands; Appeal is allowed to the extent that CPIO may ensure information sought by appellant and allowed by CPIO, is provided to the appellant within 20 working days from receipt of this order.

Copy of this order be sent to the appellant and CPIO.

Sd/-


(शैलेन्द्र महलवार / Shailendra Mahalwar)

मुख्य महाप्रबंधक (विधि) तथा प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी

**Chief General Manager (Legal) and
First Appellate Authority**

Endt. No. 3126A/RTI/A-04 of date

- 1] Shri Raghava Rachuri, Assistant General Manager (Systems), Small Industries Development Bank of India, MSME Development Centre, C-II, G Block, Bandra Kurla Complex, Bandra (East), Mumbai 400 051.
- 2] Shri U.S.Lal, GM [Legal] & CPIO, SIDBI, Head Office, Lucknow.



**प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी का कार्यालय
Office of FAA**